

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/707,378	12/09/2003	William Webb	035451-0109A 1377  EXAMINER	
26371 75	90 08/25/2005			
FOLEY & LARDNER 777 EAST WISCONSIN AVENUE			DATSKOVSKIY, MICHAEL V	
SUITE 3800	CONSIN AVENUE		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
MILWAUKEE,	, WI 53202-5308		2835	:
			DATE MAILED: 08/25/2005	; :
			DATE MAILED: 08/25/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	X
1	

## Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/707,378	WEBB ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Michael V. Datskovskiy	2835	•

Advisory Action	10/707,376	WEDDE! AL.		
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Michael V. Datskovskiy	2835		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED <u>10 August 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.		
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:</li> </ol>	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mi	fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing		1- N- 6-1-1-1-1-1-1-1	! - t ! - ! - ! ! - !	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7.	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da ).	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offic te of the final rejection, e	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,	
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);		
<ul> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a</li> </ul>			the issues for	
NOTE: <u>Limitation that: "The first platform is within</u>			nd second	
positions" is the new issue. (See 37 CFR 1.116 an	id 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).	
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)				
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	nt canceling the	
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:		II be entered and an e	explanation of	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	it before or on the date of filing a North d sufficient reasons why the affidax	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and	
<ul> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to conshowing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ul>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a ).	
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:	
I2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)				
//	ul Office:	Michael V Detakes	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
$\mathcal{M}$	ul Dettleri 08/23/05	Michael V Datskovs Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2835	ькіу	
	001-71-5			